Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/06/23 : CIA-RDP88B00443R001904430091-4

EXECUTIVE SECKE FARIAT ROUTING SLIP

TO:	1		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL	
	1	<b>D</b> CI		Х			
	2	DDCI -D		Χ			
	3	EXDIR		X			
	4	D/ICS				1	
	5	DDI		Χ			
	6	DDA					
	7	DDO					
	8	DDS&T					
	9	Chm/NIC					
	10	GC					
	11	IG					
	12	Compt			<u> </u>		
	13	D/OLL					
	14						
	15	D/PERS					
	16	VC/NIC	X(Mr.	Ford)			
	17	SRP		Χ			
	18	11120/01		X			
	19	ES		X			
	20						
	21	1					
	22			<u> </u>			
	SUSPENSE						

ì	Date	
	Please have DCI¹s comme nal version.	nts factored
		Executive Secretary

3637 (10-81)

STAT

Executive Registry

86- 1414

1 April 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR: Vice Chairman, National Intelligence Council (Ford)

FROM:

Director of Central Intelligence

**SUBJECT:** 

Draft IIM: Soviet Unconventional Warfare: The Role

of KGB Department 8 and GRU SPETSNAZ Forces

1. This IIM looks pretty good to me except that the complexity of the Scope Note would probably cause me not to read any further. Can't all the definitions be tucked away somewhere? Why do people have to know the derivation of the term SPETSNAZ? In any event, too much room and time is taken up in all that explanation.

2. The most interesting and valuable thing is the story on how these forces are being used in Afghanistan. Somehow very early a reader should be alerted to the existence and value of that segment of the document. Some people may want to go directly to that rather than plow through the earlier portion of the document. Perhaps the Afghanistan segment then should be set up as an annex and attention directed to that at the very outset. If you look at the document like I do you will glance over the content notes and look somewhat closely at what the Appendices are about. It would be a pity to bury this in the Afghanistan segment and precaution should be taken to make sure this does not happen.

William J. Casey

Attachment:

Draft IIM referred to above

SECRET WITHOUT ATTACHMENT